

VIRGINIA:

NOV - 3 2010

*In the Supreme Court of Virginia held at the Supreme Court Building in the City of Richmond on Monday the 1st day of November, 2010.*

On October 28, 2009 came the Virginia State Bar, by Jon D. Huddleston, its President, and Karen A. Gould, its Executive Director and Chief Operating Officer, and presented to the Court a petition, approved by the Council of the Virginia State Bar, praying that the Rules for Integration of the Virginia State Bar, Part Six of the Rules of Court, be amended.

Amend Part Six, Section II, Rule 4.2 to read as follows:

**Rule 4.2. Communication with Persons Represented by Counsel.**

In representing a client, a lawyer shall not communicate about the subject of the representation with a person the lawyer knows to be represented by another lawyer in the matter, unless the lawyer has the consent of the other lawyer or is authorized by law to do so.

**COMMENT**

\* \* \*

[5] In circumstances where applicable judicial precedent has approved investigative contacts prior to attachment of the right to counsel, and they are not prohibited by any provision of the United States Constitution or the Virginia Constitution, they should be considered to be authorized by law within the meaning of the Rule. Similarly, communications in civil matters may be considered authorized by law if they have been approved by judicial precedent. This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer from providing advice regarding the legality of an interrogation or the legality of other


investigative conduct.

\* \* \*

Upon consideration whereof, it is ordered that the Rules for Integration of the Virginia State Bar, Part Six of the Rules of Court, be and the same hereby are amended in accordance with the prayer of the petition aforesaid, effective immediately.

A Copy,

Teste:



Clerk